

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,174	03/02/2004	Thomas Ebner	TRW(AEC)7017	3172
26294 7.	590 10/12/2005		EXAM	INER
TAROLLI, SUNDHEIM, COVELL & TUMMINO L.L.P.			LEE, EDMUND H	
	R AVENUE, SUITE 1111 D, OH  44114		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1732	
	•	_		

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				/*			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	1.			
Office Action Commons		10/791,174	EBNER, THOMAS				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-			
		EDMUND H. LEE	1732				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verse to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinged patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communicati D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 M</u>	arch 2004					
'=	<u> </u>	action is non-final.					
3)	•		secution as to the merits	is			
٠,١							
		in parte gadyro, 1000 0,0. 11, 10	0.0.210.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers	,					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.				
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			<b></b> /-			
•	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
` a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received at (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 5/21/04.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/791,174 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2 and 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Morita (USPN 5229905). Morita teaches the claimed process as evidenced by figs 1-7. It should be noted that window 6 overmolds part of body 2a.
- 3. Claims 1-2 and 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Morita (USPN 5094602). Morita teaches the claimed process as evidenced by figs 1-6. It should be noted that the window overmolds part of the body.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morita (USPN 5229905). The above teachings of Morita are incorporated hereinafter. Morita, however, does not teach injecting the opaque component in a first mold and then injecting the colored component in a second mold. The use of separate molds to mold composite articles is well-known in the molding art. See class 264, subclass 250. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/791,174 Page 3

Art Unit: 1732

invention was made to use separate molds for molding the body and the window of Morita in order to increase molding cycle by freeing up a mold.

- 6. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morita (USPN 5094602). The above teachings of Morita are incorporated hereinafter. Morita, however, does not teach injecting the opaque component in a first mold and then injecting the colored component in a second mold. The use of separate molds to mold composite articles is well-known in the molding art. See class 264, subclass 250. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use separate molds for molding the body and the window of Morita in order to increase molding cycle by freeing up a mold.
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. USPN 5472655 teaches the use of separate molds to mold the body and window portions of an article.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EDMUND H. LEE whose telephone number is 571.272.1204. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY FROM 9AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on 571.272.1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EDMUND H. LEE Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

EHL

CAISO IOT